

**Appendix 1- Victoria Station, Parking Management Scheme – Details of the objections received**

Reference	Source	Details of Comment	Officer Comment	Recommendation
1	All Residents of Short Street (6 No Properties)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concerned that any permit holder would be able to park in the small parking area fronting the properties resulting in vehicles having to parked away from houses which is a security risk</li> </ul>	<p>Permit schemes work on an area basis and do not restrict parking to a certain road and it is likely that other residents may park outside others properties. However, even at present public highways is available for any one park providing the car is legally taxed. As such there cannot be a reserved parking for anyone.</p> <p>Short Street is at the western boundary of the scheme and easily removed however Members are advised that the parking outside the properties would be unrestricted if this action was agreed which could lead to greater pressure resulting in increasing residents concerns which will inevitably lead to near future demand for parking controls.</p>	Members may wish to consider removal from the scheme but attention is drawn to officer's comments for the long term consequences.
2	Resident – St Anns Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concern over permit issue of 4 to each property.</li> <li>Concern that scheme only addresses parking from 9.30am to 4.30pm</li> <li>Concerned at £15 permit cost when council tax and road tax are already charged</li> </ul>	<p>Permits are not automatically issued, most properties will require 2 permits however the maximum possible number per property is 4 permits.</p> <p>Any parking scheme can only operate to resolve non resident parking which in this area, primarily occurs during the daytime.</p> <p>Permit costs contribute to the maintenance and enforcement of the scheme. It is reasonable to expect a payment for permits as this is a privilege that is not available to other residents and helps to cover the costs.</p>	Proceed with Proposals
3	Resident – Maldon Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Too many business bays.</li> </ul>	<p>Whilst residents' needs are given a priority consideration, the needs of the local businesses need to be considered as part of an overall scheme. Business permits contribute to the scheme cost and allow the resident permit charge to be kept fairly low. The allocation of bays is influenced by the parking needs of the local residents' vehicles which are likely to be parked. This assessment was made based on the</p>	Proceed with proposals

Reference	Source	Details of Comment	Officer Comment	Recommendation
			<p>outcome of the surveys undertaken prior to the scheme design.</p> <p>This showed that in Maldon Road there were 19 residents vehicles parked during the daytime. The proposed scheme provides 47 residents bays which should be more than adequate to meet local residents needs. As with any scheme, we will monitor usage and where appropriate, change bay designation accordingly.</p>	
4	Resident – Coleman Street	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concerned over restrictions preventing carers</li> </ul>	There appears to be a misunderstanding that the residents bays may only be used by residents. In fact these bays are available for residents' visitors with a visitors permit. Carers permits are also available and valid for parking in a residents bay	Proceed with proposals
5	Resident – St Anns Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concern over loading areas near to property</li> </ul>	<p>No designated loading area is provided. However loading and unloading is permitted on double yellow lines.</p> <p>The area around this property remains unchanged being subject to double yellow lines.</p>	Proceed with proposals
6	Resident – South Avenue	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concern that parking will be displaced into adjacent streets. Suggests parking permitted in Sutton Road in the evenings</li> </ul>	<p>This is generally an issue as there may be some parking displacement. However such an impact on surrounding streets will be monitored and remedial action will be considered as appropriate.</p> <p>The existing limited waiting parking restrictions currently operate 24 hours, the original proposal includes an amendment to this restriction which will enable free unlimited parking from 6pm to 9am</p>	Proceed with proposals
7	Resident – Maldon Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In favour of the scheme however raises concerns regarding the numbers of business bays provided in Maldon and St Anns Road</li> </ul>	Whilst residents' needs are given a priority consideration, the needs of the local businesses need to be considered as part of an overall scheme. Business permits contribute to the scheme cost and allow the resident permit charge to be kept fairly low. The allocation of bays is influenced by the parking needs of the local residents' vehicles which are likely to be parked. This assessment was made based on the outcome of the surveys undertaken prior to the scheme	Proceed with proposals

Reference	Source	Details of Comment	Officer Comment	Recommendation
			<p>design.</p> <p>This showed that in Maldon Road there were 19 residents' vehicles parked during the daytime. The proposed scheme provides 47 residents bays which should be more than adequate to meet local residents needs. In St Anns Road, 31 residents' vehicles were parked and 74 bays have been provided.</p> <p>As with any scheme, we will monitor usage and where appropriate, change bay designation accordingly.</p>	
8	Resident – Guildford Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expresses full support for the scheme.</li> </ul>	No comment	Proceed with proposals